

Download Mckinney V. Hartz Restle Realtors

Icfs10 | Project Gutenberg Mckinney V. Hartz Restle Realtors File Name: Mckinney V. Hartz Restle Realtors
File Format: ePub, PDF, Kindle, AudioBookTorts — Minor trespasser injured by moving train — Attractive
nuisance doctrine not applicable — No duty to fence railroad right-of-way — No duty of landlord, generally, to
erect fence between its property and railroad right-of-way. McKinney v. Hartz & Restle Realtors, Inc. (1987), 31
Ohio St.3d 244, 246, 510 N.E.2d 386, quoting Denzer v. Terpstra (1934), 129 Ohio St. 1, 193 N.E. 647, 1 O.O.
303, paragraph two of the syllabus. Wanton misconduct is conduct where one “fails to exercise any care
whatsoever toward those to whom he owes a duty of care, and [t]his failure occurs under circumstances in
which there is a great ...[Cite as Goldstone v. Scacchetti's, Inc., 2008-Ohio-2563.] STATE OF OHIO,
MAHONING COUNTY IN THE COURT OF APPEALS SEVENTH DISTRICT BERNARD GOLDSTONE,
) , Mckinney V. Hartz Restle Realtors.

Other Files :